

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/577,294	04/29/2006	Louis Robert Litwin	PU030110	8042
24498 Robert D. She	7590 02/09/200 dd	EXAMINER		
Thomson Licensing LLC PO Box 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			PHU, PHUONG M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Phuong Phu	2611	ĺ
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is generalized above, the maximum statutory period with a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by stanks. - Taburs to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by stanks, and the stanks of the set of the s	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ag 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. In have been received in Application of the process of the	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
* *			

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Notice (PTO-95508)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/06,10/14/08.	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/577,294 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Preliminary Amendment filed on 4/29/06.

Accordingly, claims 1-19 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

-Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not failing within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101. must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of "Process' under 35 U.S.C. 101"). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process

The specific link to the Processes memo is http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/section_101_05_15_2008.pdf

Claims 10-19 recites a method comprising steps of "providing a device ...", "configuring the device ..." and so on. Those steps do not positively tie to another statutory category that accomplished the steps. In light of specification, page 2, lines 18-25, of the instant application, those steps can be implemented via software, being non-statutory subject matter.

-Claims 1-9 claims a device comprising elements "transceiver", "memory", "means for executing ..." and so on. In light of specification, page 2, lines 18-25, of the instant application, those elements can be referred merely to various forms of software. Therefore, claims 1-9 directs to entirely a software embodiment, being non-statutory subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,294 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Karaoguz et al (2002/0059434).

-Regarding claim 1, Karaoguz et al discloses a network aware mobile device (see figure 4), comprising:

a transceiver (comprising (92)), which identifies one of a plurality of networks with which the transceiver can communicate (see [0044];

memory (84) which stores information associating networks with operations which can be performed using the transceiver (see [0048]); and

means (90) for executing the operations when communication with one of the plurality of networks is permitted (see [0049]).

-Regarding claim 2, Karaoguz et al teaches that the transceiver is included in one of a telephone, a personal digital assistant, and a portable computer (see [0003, 0009]).

-Regarding claim 3, Karaoguz et al teaches that the plurality of networks are configurable to include one or more of a wireless local area network and a cellular network (see [0003, 0009-0014]).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,294

Art Unit: 2611

-Regarding claim 4, Karaoguz et al teaches that the memory stores a user-programmable table comprising "options", e.g., available bandwidth, quality of service, network cost, etc., which associates transceiver operations with network preferences (see [0048]).

-Regarding claim 5, Karaoguz et al teaches that the means for executing includes automatic execution of the operations (see [0048, 0049]).

-Regarding claim 6, Karaoguz et al teaches a function for determining an identity "network identity information" of a network connected to the mobile device (see [0070]).

-Regarding claim 8, Karaoguz et al teaches that notification feature, via "a display message" which notifies a user that information, e.g., information on an existence of a network is available (see [0066]), and upon a selection by the user, a software (102) (see figure 4), associated with the information, would be automatically executed for establishing the communication with the network (see [0049, 0066, 0072]). Or in another word, it can be said here that Karaoguz et al teaches that the notification feature which notifies the user that information on an existence of a network (indicated by its associated software) is available to download/retrieve for the execution, wherein the information (indicated by the associated software) is automatically downloaded/retrieved for the execution when communication is established with a network selected by the user.

-Regarding claim 9, Karaoguz et al teaches that the network selected by the user is selected from a list of network preferences associated with one or more operations (see [0048]), and the device includes an associated time, e.g., 10.24 seconds, such that if the associated time elapses a next network preference is employed to perform the operation (see [0070]).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,294

Art Unit: 2611

-Regarding claim 10, as similarly applied to claims 1-6, 8, 9 set forth above and herein incorporated, Karaoguz et al discloses a method (see figure 4) for operating a network aware mobile device, comprising:

procedure (comprising (92)) of providing a device that is aware of a network in which the device is located;

procedure (94, 102, 90) of configuring the device to perform a selected operation in a predetermined network; when the predetermined network can be communicated with, permitting the operation to be performed (see [0045-0049]).

 -Regarding claim 11, Karaoguz et al teaches procedure of assigning operations to networks (see [0049]).

-Regarding claim 13, Karaoguz et al teaches that the procedure of assigning includes assigning networks to operations in an order of priority such that if a first network is unavailable a next network is employed to perform the operation (see [0070]).

-Regarding claim 14, Karaoguz et al teaches that the procedure of permitting the operation to be performed includes automatically performing the operation once communications with an appropriately selected network have been established (see [0049]).

 -Regarding claim 15, Karaoguz et al teaches procedure of identifying the network or networks that the device is in (see [0070]).

-Regarding claim 16, Karaoguz et al teaches that procedure of identifying the network or networks includes identifying the network the device is in by signaling networks to identify themselves (see [0065]).

-Regarding claim 17, Karaoguz et al teaches that procedure of identifying the network or networks includes identifying the network the device is in by receiving network identification signals (see [0070]).

- -Claim 18 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 8.
- -Claim 19 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karaoguz et al.
- -Regarding claim 7, Karaoguz et al teaches that the memory includes a list of network preferences associated with one or more operations (see [0084]).

Karaoguz et al does not teach that the memory includes an associated time such that if the associated time elapses a next network preference is employed to perform the operation, as claimed

Karaoguz et al teaches a software "software code" run on a processor of the device (see [0072]), wherein the software comprises an associated time, e.g., 10.24 seconds), such that if the associated time elapses a next network preference is employed to perform the operation (see [0070]).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,294

Art Unit: 2611

Using a memory for storing and retrieving in formation is well-known in the art. For instance, Karaoguz et al uses the memory (84) (see figure 4).

Since Karaoguz et al does not teach in detail how the software is provided to be run on the processor, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Karaoguz et al in such a way that the memory (84) would be used to store and provide the software, so that the software could be provided to be run on the processor, as required and expected.

With the implementation, Karaoguz et al teaches that the memory includes the associated time such that if the associated time elapses a next network preference is employed to perform the operation.

-Regarding claim 12, Karaoguz et al does not teach assigning operations to networks includes storing operation assignments in a table, as claimed.

Karaoguz et al teaches mean (102), as software implemented (see [0072]), assigning operations to networks (see [0049]).

Using a memory for storing and retrieving in formation is well-known in the art. For instance, Karaoguz et al uses the memory (84) (see figure 4).

Since Karaoguz et al does not teach in detail how the software (102) is provided, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to implement Karaoguz et al in such a way that the software, including the operation assignments, would be stored and provided by the memory so that the software would be provided as required and expected.

With the implementation, Karaoguz et al teaches procedure of storing the operation assignments in the memory (84), (the memory considered here equivalent with the limitation "table").

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chich Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611

/Phuong Phu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611